Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1325-1328

# Structures of Nickel(II) Bis- and Trisphosphine Diisothiocyanate Complexes

BOOKER JUMA, FRANK R. FRONCZEK AND GEORGE G. STANLEY\*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La 70803, USA

(Received 23 July 1992; accepted 20 January 1993)

## Abstract

The structures of [P,P-diethyl-P'P'-diphenyl-1,2ethanediphosphine-P, P')di(thiocyanato-N)nickel(II),  $Ni(NCS)_2(Et_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)$  (1), and {bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)ethyllethylphosphine-P, P', P'' di-(thiocyanato-N)nickel(II), Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>[EtP(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>- $PPh_2$ )<sub>2</sub>] (2), have been determined. Complex (1) has square-planar geometry about Ni defined by the bidentate diphosphine ligand and two N-bonded thiocvanato ions. Thiocvanate coordination is nearly linear, with Ni-N-C angles of 170.2(2) and 172.4 (2)°. The P—Ni—P bite angle of the chelating Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PEt<sub>2</sub> ligand is 87.05 (3)°. Coordinationbond lengths are Ni-N = 1.876 (3), sphere 1.885 (2) Å, Ni—P = 2.1413 (8), 2.145 (1) Å. Complex (2) has distorted square-pyramidal geometry about the Ni center with the tridentate phosphine ligand and one thiocyanato ligand in the basal plane. The axial N-bonded thiocyanato ligand deviates from linear coordination, with an Ni-N-C angle of 158.1 (6)°. The P—Ni—P bite angles are 86.20(9)and 85.53 (9)°. Coordination-sphere bond lengths are Ni—P = 2.223 (2), 2.150 (2), 2.189 (2) Å, where the shortest distance is to the central P atom, and Ni-N = 1.896 (6) (basal), 2.088 (7) Å (axial).

## Comment

Our group has been involved in the synthesis and study of bimetallic complexes in homogeneous catalysis. This has led to successful synthesis of the linear tetratertiary phosphine ligand  $(Et_2PCH_2CH_2)(Ph)$ -PCH<sub>2</sub>P(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PEt<sub>2</sub>), eLTTP, designed to bridge and chelate two metal centers (Laneman, Fronczek & Stanley, 1988, 1989). The reaction of eLTTP with two equivalents of NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O in EtOH produces Ni<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>(eLTTP) in nearly quantitative yield. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum shows the presence of both diastereomers of Ni<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>(eLTTP) in equal amounts (Laneman *et al.*, 1989). However, the separation of these two diastereomers has proven to be somewhat of a challenge. We decided to use the monometallic complex Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(Et<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)

as a possible model compound to study the chemistry needed to separate the two diastereomers of Ni<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>(eLTTP). The coordinating properties of the common and similar bisphosphine ligand Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>, dppe, have been investigated by numerous workers (Spek, van Eijck, Jans & van Koten, 1987; Rahn, Delian & Nelson, 1989). The Et<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (dedppe, diethyldiphenylbisphosphinoethane) ligand, however, is a better bisphosphine model of our binucleating eLTTP ligand system relative to dppe.

Et<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by Meek's (Dubois, Hvers & Meek, 1975) free-radical pathway from Ph<sub>2</sub>PH and Et<sub>2</sub>PCH=CH<sub>2</sub>, using catalytic amounts of 2.2-azobis(isobutyronitrile) as the freeradical initiator. In our hands, a 41% yield of dedppe was isolated. Characterization of the colorless dedppe was performed by <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, which indicated the presence of an additional phosphine product which could not be readily separated, but the simple <sup>31</sup>P NMR doubletdoublet pattern of dedppe clearly identified it as the major phosphine component. The crude dedppe was then allowed to react with one equivalent of Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub> in EtOH under inert atmosphere conditions. A mixture of yellow-brown plates and orange needles was obtained.



The X-ray structure of the vellow-brown plates revealed the expected Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(dedppe) complex (1) (Fig. 1). The coordination geometry around the nickel(II) center is essentially square planar with the Ni and the four coordinated atoms all lying within 0.047 (2) Å of a common plane. The five-membered dedppe chelate ring is puckered with C3 0.406 (3) Å and C4 -0.130(3) Å out of the coordination plane. The two N-bonded thiocyanate groups are cis to each other and form an angle of 93.9 (1)° about Ni. The bite angle of the dedppe ligand is  $87.05 (3)^\circ$ . The short Ni-P [2.1413 (8), 2.145 (1) Å] and Ni-N [1.876 (3), 1.885 (2) Å] bond distances agree reasonably well with corresponding but longer values of Ni—P [2.178 (3), 2.182 (4) Å] and Ni—N [1.864 (12), 1.916 (10) Å] in Ni(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(dppe) (Kriege-Simondsen & Feltham, 1983) and NiBr<sub>2</sub>(dppe) (Rahn et al., 1989).

To our surprise, the orange needles were identified by a crystal-structure determination as Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>- $[\eta^3$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P(Et)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>], (2). The tridentate phosphine ligand Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P(Et)CH<sub>2</sub>- CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> is formed as a rearrangement product in the free-radical ligand synthesis reaction. Unlike Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(dedppe), which is soluble in acetone and heptane, the orange Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>[Ph<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P(Et)-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>] complex is essentially insoluble in these solvents, but it is soluble in acetonitrile. This allows easy separation of the two compounds.

The structure of (2) is best described as a distorted square pyramid, although it could also be considered to be a distorted trigonal bipyramid with P2 and N1 axial. We favor the distorted square-pyramid descriptor because of the long Ni-N2 bond distance, 2.088 (7) Å, which is 0.192 (9) Å longer than the Ni-N1 distance of 1.896 (6) Å. This fits well



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) plot of (1) showing the non-H atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) plot of (2) showing the non-H atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

with a square-pyramidal geometry and corresponding electronic structure where the axial ligand has the weakest metal-ligand bonding. The Ni-P bond distances are in the normal bonding range with Ni-P = 2.223 (2), 2.150 (2), 2.189 (2) Å. The axial NCS ligand is bent quite substantially with an Ni-N2-C2 angle of 158.1 (6)°. This bending appears to be caused mainly by crystal packing forces since the only close intramolecular contact is between N2 and the calculated H atom on C26 with a distance of 2.608 Å. This, however, should not cause any bending of the NCS ligand.

### **Experimental**

Compound (1)	
Crystal data	
[Ni(SCN) <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>24</sub> P <sub>2</sub> )] $M_r = 477.2$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 8.4008 (7) Å b = 18.178 (2) Å c = 15.164 (2) Å $\beta = 93.803$ (7)° V = 2310.6 (4) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 4	$D_x = 1.372 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K $\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from reflections $\theta = 14-25^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.3 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 297  K Plate $0.30 \times 0.18 \times 0.05$ Yellow-brown

Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: empirical  $T_{\rm min}$  = 0.671,  $T_{\rm max}$  = 0.996 5297 measured reflections 4738 independent reflections 3717 observed reflections

Refinement

 $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ 

Final R = 0.039wR = 0.050S = 2.1543717 reflections 245 parameters H-atom parameters not refined  $w = 4F_o^2 [\sigma^2(I) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]^{-1}$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.06$ 

## Compound (2)

Crystal data  $[Ni(SCN)_2(C_{30}H_{33}P_3)]$  $M_r = 661.4$ 

x radiation .54184 Å arameters from 25 ections 4-25°  $.3 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 97 K  $\times 0.18 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ v-brown

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 75^{\circ}$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$  $k = 0 \rightarrow 22$  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 167 min intensity variation: -8.2%

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction:  $(1+gI_c)^{-1}$  applied to  $F_c$ Extinction coefficient: g = $4.2(2) \times 10^{-1}$ Atomic scattering factors from Cromer & Waber (1974)

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ 

Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 7.602 (2) Å	Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 14-25^{\circ}$	Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters (Å <sup>2</sup> ) for (2)				
b = 20.599 (2)  Å	$\mu = 3.7 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	$B_{eq} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
c = 20.712 (4)  Å	T = 298  K		x	у	z	Bea
$\beta = 97.98(2)^{-1}$	$0.22 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$	Ni	0.1752 (2)	0.08042 (5)	0.26659 (6)	4.11 (3)
V = 3212 (2) A <sup>3</sup>	$0.32 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$ mm	S1	-0.3394 (4)	0.0339 (2)	0.1308 (2)	10.7 (1)
Z = 4	Orange	S2	-0.0849 (3)	0.2314 (1)	0.4007 (1)	6.24 (6)
$D_x = 1.368 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$			0.2063(3) 0.4346(3)	0.1588(1)	0.1952(1) 0.2125(1)	4.46 (5)
		P2 P3	0.4540 (5)	-0.1080(1)	0.3135(1) 0.2845(1)	4.92 (5)
Data collection		NI	-0.0411(8)	0.0531 (3)	0.2043(1) 0.2174(3)	49(2)
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{int} = 0.029$	N2	0.0382 (9)	0.1282 (3)	0.3333 (3)	5.5 (2)
diffractometer	$\theta = 70^{\circ}$	C1	-0.166 (1)	0.0441 (4)	0.1821 (4)	4.9 (2)
	$b_{\text{max}} = 70$	C2	-0.014 (1)	0.1706 (3)	0.3604 (4)	4.4 (2)
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 8$	C3	0.399 (1)	0.2077 (4)	0.2284 (4)	5.6 (2)
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 25$	C4	0.541 (1)	0.1621 (4)	0.2607 (4)	5.9 (2)
empirical	$l = -25 \rightarrow 25$	C5	0.580(1)	0.0375 (4)	0.3322 (5)	7.3 (3)
$T_{\min} = 0.493, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections	C6	0.507 (1)	0.0197 (5)	0.2951 (5)	7.8 (3)
0.994	frequency: 167 min	C/	0.251(1) 0.343(2)	0.1292 (4)	0.11/6 (4)	5.4 (2) 9.9 (3)
6559 measured reflections	intensity variation:	C9	0.375(2)	0.1453 (6)	0.0178 (5)	12.0 (4)
5047 independent reflections	-16.4%	C10	0.319 (2)	0.0849 (7)	-0.0033(5)	10.4 (4)
2417 sharmed selections	10.1%	C11	0.230(1)	0.0474 (6)	0.0341 (5)	8.3 (3)
5417 observed reflections		C12	0.198 (1)	0.0684 (5)	0.0948 (4)	6.6 (2)
$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$		C13	0.026 (1)	0.2169 (4)	0.1771 (4)	5.0 (2)
- 4		C14	-0.081 (1)	0.2185 (4)	0.1179 (5)	6.6 (3)
Refinement		C15	-0.220(1)	0.2635 (5)	0.1051 (5)	7.4 (3)
Final $R = 0.081$	$\Delta q_{max} = 1.03 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	C16	-0.252 (1)	0.3043 (4)	0.1549 (5)	7.0 (3)
	$\Delta \rho = -0.04 \rho \Lambda^{-3}$		-0.150 (1)	0.3017(4)	0.2143(3)	0.0 (2)
WK = 0.089	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.94 \ {\rm e \ A}$	C10	-0.011(1)	0.2397(4) 0.1510(5)	0.2230 (4)	5.0(2)
S = 3.23	Extinction correction:	C20	0.383 (1)	0.1125 (6)	0.4477(5)	9.1 (3)
3417 reflections	$(1+gI_c)$ · applied to $F_c$	C21	0.196 (1)	-0.0589 (3)	0.3559 (4)	4.7 (2)
362 parameters	Extinction coefficient: $g =$	C22	0.275 (1)	-0.1165 (4)	0.3822 (4)	6.4 (2)
Joz parameters	$4.2(4) \times 10^{-7}$	C23	0.215 (1)	-0.1424 (5)	0.4368 (4)	8.0 (3)
H atoms not refined	Atomic scattering factors	C24	0.083 (1)	-0.1149 (5)	0.4640 (5)	8.3 (3)
$w = 4F_o^2[\sigma^2(I) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]^{-1}$	from Cromer & Waber	C25	0.005 (1)	-0.0586 (5)	0.4380 (5)	7.7 (3)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$	(1974)	C26	0.061 (1)	-0.0315 (4)	0.3830 (4)	5.6(2)
$(\Delta/0)$ max 0.01	(1)))	C27	0.201(1) 0.305(2)	-0.0703 (4)	0.2182(4) 0.1707(5)	4.9 (2) 9.0 (3)
		C29	0.248 (2)	-0.1255(7)	0.1191 (6)	11.0 (4)
Table 1. Fractional atomic	coordinates and equivalent	C30	0.087 (2)	-0.1559 (5)	0.1144 (5)	9.5 (3)
inotronia dignlaggement	narge stars $(\lambda^2)$ for (1)	C31	-0.018 (1)	-0.1465 (5)	0.1612 (5)	8.3 (3)
	parameters (A ) jur (1)	C32	0.041 (1)	-0.1061 (4)	0.2136 (4)	6.5 (2)

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (1)

# $B_{\text{eq}} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	<i>x</i>	y	2	Beq				
NI	0.28547 (5)	0.25823 (2)	0.24948 (3)	3.969 (9)	Table 3. Selec	ted geometri	c parameters (Å.	•) for (1)
SI	0.3130(1)	0.42543 (5)	0.47975 (6)	6.43 (2)	14010 5. 50100		<i>c puluitetete (</i> ,	)]0! (1)
S2	0.6906 (1)	0.11116 (5)	0.37181 (8)	7.46 (2)	Ni—P1	2.1413 (8)	P1-C11	1.810 (3)
P1	0.11882 (7)	0.33396 (3)	0.18668 (4)	3.47 (1)	Ni—P2	2.145 (1)	P2C4	1.826 (3)
P2	0.2611 (1)	0.19461 (4)	0.13020 (6)	5.30 (2)	Ni—N1	1.885 (2)	P2-C17	1.811 (4)
N1	0.2976 (3)	0.3185 (1)	0.3508 (2)	4.61 (5)	Ni-N2	1.876 (3)	P2-C19	1.826 (4)
N2	0.4396 (3)	0.1917 (1)	0.2962 (2)	5.87 (6)	\$1-C1	1.601 (3)	N1-C1	1.153 (4)
C1	0.3018 (3)	0.3636 (2)	0.4044 (2)	4.08 (5)	\$2—C2	1.605 (3)	N2C2	1.149 (4)
C2	0.5446 (3)	0.1577 (2)	0.3267 (2)	4.59 (6)	P1-C3	1.837 (3)	C3C4	1.509 (4)
C3	0.0163 (3)	0.2913 (2)	0.0888 (2)	4.28 (6)	P1C5	1.813 (3)		
C4	0.1318 (4)	0.2410(2)	0.0462 (2)	4.73 (6)		97 05 (2)	N: D2 C17	115.0 (1)
C5	-0.0357 (3)	0.3680(1)	0.2540 (2)	3.67 (5)	PI-NI-P2	87.03 (3)	$N_1 - F_2 - C_1 / C_1 O_1$	113.9(1)
C6	-0.0306 (3)	0.4375 (2)	0.2888 (2)	5.25 (6)	PI-NI-NI	89.27(7)	$N_1 - P_2 - C_{17}$	109.4 (1)
C7	-0.1496 (4)	0.4608 (2)	0.3421 (2)	6.25 (7)	PI-NI-NZ	175.49 (9)	C4 - P2 - C17	100.0 (2)
C8	-0.2725 (3)	0.4156 (2)	0.3601 (2)	5.39(7)	P2-N1-N1	1/6.32 (8)	C4-P2-C19	108.3 (2)
C9	-0.2762 (3)	0.3456 (2)	0.3273 (2)	5.29(7)	P2-N1-N2	89.75 (9)	C1/-P2-C19	105.8 (2)
C10	-0.1591 (3)	0.3214 (2)	0.2745 (2)	4.77 (6)	N1—Ni—N2	93.9 (1)	Ni-NI-Cl	170.2 (2)
CII	0.2250 (3)	0.4131 (1)	0.1480 (2)	3.80 (5)	Ni-P1-C3	110.23 (9)	Ni—N2—C2	172.4 (2)
C12	0.3891 (3)	0.4167(2)	0.1627(2)	4.65 (6)	Ni-P1-C5	116.14 (9)	S1C1N1	178.3 (2)
CI3	0.4722 (4)	0.4762 (2)	0.1322 (2)	6.04 (8)	Ni-P1-C11	109.45 (8)	S2C2N2	178.5 (3)
C14	0.3929 (4)	0.5326(2)	0.0890 (2)	6.31 (8)	C3—P1—C5	106.5 (1)	P1C3C4	108.8 (2)
CIS	0.2294(4)	0.5302 (2)	0.0752 (2)	6.06 (8)	C3—P1—C11	106.7 (1)	P2-C4-C3	110.5 (2)
C16	0 1459 (4)	0 4704 (2)	0.1040 (2)	5.18 (7)	C5-P1-C11	107.3 (1)	P1C5C6	121.8 (2)
C17	0 4445 (5)	0.1744(2)	0.0786 (3)	9.1 (1)	Ni—P2—C4	111.0 (1)	P1C5C10	119.3 (2)
C18	0.5409 (5)	0.2414 (3)	0.0567 (4)	11.9 (1)	Ni-P1-C3-C4	-34.7 (2)	P1-Ni-P2-C4	3.6(1)
C19	0.1727 (6)	0.1055 (2)	0.1534 (3)	8.8 (1)	Ni-P2-C4-C3	-26.0(2)	P2-Ni-P1-C3	15.2 (1)
C20	0.0140 (7)	0.1124 (2)	0.1959 (3)	10.7 (1)	P1-C3-C4-P2	37.1 (2)		

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (2)

	erea geomerit	e parameters (il,	) ] 01 (2)
Ni—P1	2.223 (2)	P2-C4	1.826 (9)
Ni—P2	2.150 (2)	P2C5	1.834 (9)
Ni—P3	2.189 (2)	P2-C19	1.83(1)
Ni—N1	1.896 (6)	P3-C6	1.81 (1)
NiN2	2.088 (7)	P3-C21	1.829 (8)
S1-C1	1.587 (8)	P3-C27	1.821 (8)
S2—C2	1.635 (8)	N1C1	1.131 (9)
P1-C3	1.832 (8)	N2C2	1.14 (1)
P1—C7	1.795 (9)	C3-C4	1.51 (1)
P1—C13	1.820 (8)	C5-C6	1.47 (1)
P1—Ni—P2	86.20 (9)	Ni-P2-C5	112.0 (2)
P1—Ni—P3	137.3 (1)	Ni-P2-C19	116.1 (3)
P1—Ni—N1	91.2 (2)	C4—P2—C5	107.8 (4)
P1—Ni—N2	101.9 (2)	C4—P2—C19	105.6 (4)
P2—Ni—P3	85.53 (9)	C5-P2-C19	104.7 (4)
P2—Ni—N1	173.7 (2)	Ni—P3—C6	108.2 (3)
P2—Ni—N2	95.0 (2)	C6-P3-C21	107.7 (4)
P3-Ni-N1	92.5 (2)	C6-P3-C27	105.0 (4)
P3—Ni—N2	120.5 (2)	C21-P3-C27	104.2 (3)
N1—Ni—N2	91.2 (3)	Ni-N1-C1	169.9 (6)
Ni—P1—C3	107.6 (3)	Ni-N2-C2	158.1 (6)
Ni—P1—C7	113.6 (3)	S1-C1-N1	177.6 (8)
Ni—P1—C13	117.9 (3)	S2-C2-N2	178.5 (6)
C3—P1—C7	106.3 (4)	P1C3C4	107.9 (6)
C3—P1—C13	104.9 (4)	P2C4C3	107.3 (6)
C7—P1—C13	105.7 (4)	P2-C19-C20	116.4 (7)
Ni-P2-C4	110.1 (2)		
P2—Ni—P1—C3	-12.0 (3)	Ni-P1-C3-C4	39.2 (6)
P3—Ni—P1—C3	-91.1 (3)	Ni-P2-C4-C3	39.7 (6)
P1NiP2C4	-12.4 (3)	C5-P2-C4-C3	162.2 (6)
P1—Ni—P2—C5	-132.4 (4)	Ni-P2-C5-C6	16.0 (8)
P3—Ni—P2—C4	125.7 (3)	C4—P2—C5—C6	-105.3 (7)
P3—Ni—P2—C5	5.7 (4)	Ni-P3-C6-C5	38.7 (8)
P1—Ni—P3—C6	57.3 (4)	P1-C3-C4-P2	-48.7 (7)
P2—Ni—P3—C6	-22.1 (4)	P2-C5-C6-P3	-34.0 (9)

Programs used include the Enraf-Nonius SDP (Frenz, 1978), ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) and MULTAN78 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1978). For (2), the crystal was of relatively poor quality giving rise to higher than expected R values and residual densities.

Acknowledgement is made to the National Science Foundation (CHE-88-23041, CHE-92-01051) and the Louisiana Educational Quality Support Fund (LEQSF (1990-93)-RD-B-07) for support of this research.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates, complete bond distances, bond angles and torsion angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71031 (62 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: AB1033]

#### References

- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Dubois, D. L., Hyers, W. H. & Meek, D. W. (1975). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1011–1015.
- Frenz, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP-A Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. In Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld & G. C. Bassi. Delft Univ. Press.

©1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kriege-Simondsen, J. & Feltham, R. D. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 71, 185-194.
- Laneman, S. A., Fronczek, F. R. & Stanley, G. G. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 5585–5586.
- Laneman, S. A., Fronczek, F. R. & Stanley, G. G. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 1872–1878.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Rahn, J. A., Delian, A. & Nelson, J. H. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 215-217.
- Spek, A. L., van Eijck, B. P., Jans, R. J. F. & van Koten, G. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1878-1880.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1328-1330

# Structure of Bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)diiodotellurium(IV)

R. Krishna Kumar, G. Aravamudan,\* M. R. Udupa and M. Seshasayee

Department of Chemistry and Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras - 600036, India

T. A. HAMOR

The School of Chemistry, The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, England

(Received 26 May 1992; accepted 3 December 1992)

### Abstract

Centrosymmetric dimers are formed in the structure through two I atoms bridging two Te atoms. Te is seven-coordinated, bound to four S atoms, two intramolecular axial I atoms and one intermolecular planar bridging I atom, in a distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry. The non-bridging I atoms in the dimer have a secondary interaction with similar I atoms of other dimers.

### Comment

The dithiocarbamate group  $R_2 \text{NCS}_2^-(L)$  is a strong chelating ligand for Te<sup>IV</sup>. As a result, mixed-ligand complexes of Te<sup>IV</sup> with dithiocarbamates and halides, such as Te $L_3X$  and Te $L_2X_2$  (X = halides) were considered difficult to prepare. It was reasoned that by suitably substituting electron-withdrawing groups on the N atom of the dithiocarbamate group, the ligand could be made to bond weakly and